

Agentschap voor Innovatie door Wetenschap en Technologie
IWT
SBO Security and Privacy for Online Social Networks

SPION

Document type	Report
Title	Privacy-friendly 'model' privacy policies
Deliverable Number	D9.3.5
Editor(s)	B. Van Alsenoy
Dissemination level	External
Preparation date	July 2013
Version	1.0

Legal Notice

All information included in this document is subject to change without notice. The Members of the IWT SBO SPION project make no warranty of any kind with regard to this document, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. The Members of the IWT SBO SPION project shall not be held liable for errors contained herein or direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

SPION

The IWT SBO SPION Project

Nr.	Participant name	Country	Department	Participant role
1	KU Leuven	BE	COSIC/ESAT	Coordinator
2	KU Leuven	BE	DISTRINET	Partner
3	KU Leuven	BE	DTAI	Partner
4	KU Leuven	BE	ICRI	Partner
5	Vrije Universiteit Brussel	BE	SMIT	Partner
6	University of Ghent	BE	OWK	Partner
7	Carnegie Melon University	USA	Heinz	Partner

Contributors

	Name	Organisation
1	B. Van Alsenoy	KU Leuven, iMinds-ICRI
2	A. Acquisti	Carnegie Melon University

Contents

1.	Introduction.....	4
2.	Minimum information: a checklist	5
3.	Method of presentation	8
3.1	Layering notice	8
3.2	Visual aids.....	9
4.	Do's and don'ts.....	11
5.	Conclusion	13

1. Introduction

One of the aims of the SPION project is to promote the design of privacy-friendly ‘model’ privacy policies for Online Social Networks (OSNs). Building on the legal, social and technical research performed thus far, we have distilled a number of recommendations for the development of such policies. The objective of these recommendations is to promote privacy policies which are not only complete from a legal perspective, but also designed so that users can easily ascertain the level of privacy offered by the OSN.

As already mentioned in a previous deliverable, we have chosen to use the term ‘privacy notice’ instead of ‘privacy policy’ in order to avoid terminological confusion. To be clear, privacy notices are public-facing documents designed to inform individuals of an organization’s data processing practices, as well as any other information required by data protection or privacy legislation.¹

This report starts by providing a checklist of the minimum information which providers of OSN services must provide to their users. Next, it discusses current best practices regarding the presentation of privacy notices. This discussion is then followed by a number of specific guidelines which the drafters of privacy notices should take into account when developing these notices. Finally, a number of conclusions and recommendations will be provided.

¹ The term ‘privacy policy’ is also frequently used in reference to documents which are *internal* to an organization and which documents the objectives, rules and/or controls it has adopted in order to satisfy data protection and privacy requirements. See B. Van Alsenoy, E. Kosta and J. Dumortier, ‘Legal requirements for privacy-friendly ‘model’ privacy policies’, Deliverable D6.1 of the SPION Project, June 2012, p. 4-5, available at <http://www.cosic.esat.kuleuven.be/publications/article-2237.pdf>.

2. Minimum information: a checklist

Articles 10 and 11 of Directive 95/46/EC² specify which information a data subject should receive with regards to the processing of his or her personal data. A distinction is made between two different scenarios: one in which the information is obtained directly from the data subject (art. 10), and one in which the information is collected indirectly (i.e. from an entity other than the data subject) (art. 11).

In the context of OSNs, a significant amount of personal data is collected directly from users. Such data include users' basic profile information (name, age, place of residence, interests, ...), as well as any information which users voluntarily post (on either their own or another user's OSN page). However, many OSNs also collect a range of personal data indirectly. For example, OSNs typically maintain 'behavioral data' about their users, which reflect the user's activities on the OSN (e.g., frequency of log-ins, location and/or device from which the service is accessed).³ Certain OSNs also collect information which extends beyond the OSN domain, e.g. by collecting information from the user's browser or from cookies stored on the user's device. Another example of data not provided directly by users is 'inferred data', i.e. data which is derived from other data (e.g., by applying profiling techniques).⁴

It is the responsibility of the OSN provider to present users with clear information about all of these data collection and use practices. At a minimum, this information should encompass the following elements⁵:

² Directive 95/46/EC on the protection of individuals with regards to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, Official Journal of the European Union, no L 281, 23 November 1995, 31-50.

³ One could argue some of the examples provided here concern information 'collected directly from users'. We would argue however, that any information which is not explicitly solicited from and actively provided by the individual concerned constitutes an indirect collection practice.

⁴ These examples provided here are based on B. Schneier, 'A Revised Taxonomy of Social Networking Data', 10 August 2010, http://www.schneier.com/blog/archives/2010/08/a_taxonomy_of_s_1.html (last accessed 18 June 2013).

⁵ This checklist is based on: art. 10-11 of Directive 95/46/EC; Article 29 Working Party, Opinion 2/2001 on certain minimum requirements for collecting personal data on-line in the European Union, WP43, 17 May 2001, available at <http://ec.europa.eu/justice/policies/privacy/docs/wpdocs/2001/wp43en.pdf>; Resolution of the 25th International Conference Of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners on improving the communication of data protection and privacy information practices, 12 September 2003, available at <http://www.privacyconference2003.org/resolution.html> and Article 29 Working Party, Opinion 10/2004 on more Harmonized Information Provisions,

1. The **types of personal data** being collected, as well as how they are collected;
 - Examples: your profile information, data related to your use of the OSN, information about you shared by other users, endorsements you make (e.g., 'like', '+1'), ...
2. The **purposes** for which these data are processed;
 - Examples: to provide the OSN service, site performance and security, service improvement, direct marketing, ...
3. The **privacy choices** which individuals have - or don't have - and how to exercise them (e.g., through configuration of privacy settings or ticking of boxes)
 - If users' data will be used for *direct marketing* purposes, the privacy notice should clearly indicate whether or not users have an ability to opt-out of such marketing. If this is not the case (i.e., acceptance is a precondition for receiving the service), users should be made aware of this and their explicit consent should be obtained.
 - If the provisioning of certain information is *mandatory* whereas other information is *optional*, this should be clearly indicated. Where relevant, individuals should also be informed of the possible consequences of not providing a certain item of information

Example: the decision to provide a phone number may not be mandatory but can help users to regain access to their account in case they forget their password.
 - Where *default settings* are in place, individuals should be made aware of this and provided with clear information on the implications of current default settings and how to change these settings.
4. Whether the personal data collected by the OSN provider will be disclosed to any **third party recipients** and, if so, the names of these recipients (or at least a clear indication of the categories of recipients involved), as well as the types of personal data concerned and the purposes for which those third parties may process them;

5. Information about the user's **rights as a data subject**, which include the right of access, correction, blocking or deletion, together with an indication of how these rights can be exercised;
6. **Contact information:**
 - the official name of the organization behind the OSN and its physical address, as well as any other contact information;
 - contact information for the independent supervisory body to which individuals may complain if they are concerned that their rights have been breached.
7. An indication of how to obtain **more details** regarding the OSN's information handling and processing practices.
 - Additional information might for example include: details regarding security measures adopted by the organization, countries to which personal data is transferred, further details regarding exercise of data subject rights, ...

Offering a comprehensive account of each of these elements requires considerable effort.⁶ A lot of information is required, and the desire to be complete can easily result in a lengthy and complex document. Such a document may in turn be difficult for users to understand, thus undermining the core objective of the privacy notice: to achieve an effective communication of privacy practices.⁷ The next section will describe several ways in which OSN providers can improve the presentation of their privacy notices in order to reduce risks of 'information overload' and facilitate reader comprehension.

⁶ For an overview of the logical steps involved in drafting a privacy notice see e.g. OECD (2006), "Making Privacy Notices Simple: An OECD Report and Recommendations", OECD Digital Economy Papers, No. 120, OECD Publishing, p. 6-7, available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/231428216052> (last accessed 24 June 2013) and Centre for Information Policy Leadership (CIPL), 'Ten steps to develop a multilayered privacy notice', 2007, available at http://www.informationpolicycentre.com/centre_archives/#multilayered.

⁷ See also Resolution of the 25th International Conference Of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners on improving the communication of data protection and privacy information practices, 12 September 2003, p. 9, available at <http://www.privacyconference2003.org/resolution.html>.

3. Method of presentation

3.1 Layering notice

In 2003, the International Conference of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners adopted a Resolution on improving the communication of data protection and privacy information practices.⁸ This Resolution advocated for the use of 'condensed format' privacy notices as a means to improve the communication of privacy-related information. Specifically, the Commissioners considered that communication would be improved by:

- using a short format for providing information, with a limited number of elements (e.g., 6 to 7);
- providing only the basic information up front, while providing clear and easy access to further information;
- using simpler, everyday terminology; and
- standardizing the manner in which notices are provided.⁹

In 2004, the idea of using 'multi-layered' privacy notices received further endorsement from the Article 29 Working Party.¹⁰ According to the Working Party, data controllers may spread out the requisite information over different layers, provided that the sum of all the layers offers all the information required by national data protection laws.¹¹ The Working Party proposed the following three-layer structure:

- *Layer 1 – the short notice*, which comprises
 - at least the identity of the controller and the purposes of processing;

⁸ Resolution of the 25th International Conference Of Data Protection and Privacy Commissioners on improving the communication of data protection and privacy information practices, 12 September 2003, available at <http://www.privacyconference2003.org/resolution.html>.

⁹*Ibid*, p. 8. The concept of a condensed format privacy notice was further developed in 2004 by an ad hoc group of privacy experts in the Berlin Memorandum. The basic premise of this group was that privacy notices should be 'multi-layered', whereby information about an organization's privacy practices is presented incrementally, thereby forming several 'layers' of information. See 'Berlin Privacy Notices Memorandum', available at http://www.lda.brandenburg.de/sixcms/detail.php?gsid=5lbn1.c.172101.de&template=druck_lda (last accessed 24 June 2013). See also OECD (2006), "Making Privacy Notices Simple: An OECD Report and Recommendations", *l.c.*, p. 6.

¹⁰ Article 29 Data Protection Working Party, 'Opinion on More Harmonised Information Provisions', WP100, 25 November 2004.

¹¹*Ibid*, p. 7.

- any additional information which - in view of the particular circumstances - must be provided up front to ensure fairness; and
 - a clear indication as to how to access additional information.
- *Layer 2 – the condensed notice*, which comprises
 - all the information mentioned under the checklist above, in summary form
 - *Layer 3 – the full notice*, which comprises
 - all the information mentioned under the checklist above in full (i.e., with specificities) as well as any other information required by national laws.¹²

3.2 Visual aids

Parsing notice into different layers can help mitigate risks of ‘information overload’. However, organizations can use additional mechanisms to present their privacy notices in a user-friendly way. Appropriate visualization techniques can help improve the effective communication of privacy notices. For example, in its Opinion on Harmonized Notice Provisions, the Article 29 Working Party recommended presenting condensed notices in a table format to improve reader understanding.¹³ A similar approach has been adopted by the US federal government, where federal regulators have released an online ‘form builder’ to generate model consumer privacy notices automatically.¹⁴

Some research suggests that the use of privacy ‘icons’ or ‘nutrition labels’ can enhance user comprehension. Privacy icons (or ‘pictograms’) are simplified pictures representing privacy related-statements, such as whether or not personal data is shared with third parties or

¹²*Ibid*, p. 8-9. Further guidance on how to implement a ‘multi-layered’ privacy notice can be found in Centre for Information Policy Leadership (CIPL), ‘Ten steps to develop a multilayered privacy notice’, 2007, available at http://www.informationpolicycentre.com/centre_archives/#multilayered. Additional guidance can also be found in Information Commissioner’s Office, ‘Privacy notices – code of practice’, 12 June 2009, available at http://www.ico.org.uk/for_organisations/data_protection/topic_guides/privacy_notices (last accessed 24 June 2013).

¹³ An example of such a condensed ‘table format’ notice is provided by the Working Party in the Annexes to its Opinion. See http://ec.europa.eu/justice/policies/privacy/docs/wpdocs/2004/wp100a_en.pdf#page=2&zoom=auto,108,0.

¹⁴ See <http://www.federalreserve.gov/newsevents/press/bcreg/20100415a.htm> (last accessed 25 June 2013).

used for marketing purposes.¹⁵ A privacy ‘nutrition label’, on the other hand, offers a matrix of information types and usage, drawing inspiration from nutrition, warning and energy labeling.¹⁶

A recent proposal for the visualization of a multi-layered notice has been made by Van Den Berg and Van Der Hof.¹⁷ In their design information is presented as spokes of a wheel, whereby each spoke represents a basic data protection principle (e.g., collection limitation, data quality, ...). By clicking on an individual spoke, users gain access to the second and third layers of information, where they receive more and more in-depth information about each specific aspect of the processing.¹⁸

¹⁵ For a discussion of privacy pictograms see M. Hansen, ‘Putting privacy pictograms into practice – a European perspective’, *GI Jahrestagung* 2009, available at www.researchgate.net/publication/221384239_Putting_Privacy_Pictograms_into_Practice_-_a_European_Perspective/file/9fcfd5092db710b23c.pdf

¹⁶ See P.G Kelly, J. Bresee, L.F. Cranor and R.W. Reeder, “A “Nutrition Label” for Privacy”, *Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security* 2009, available at <http://cups.cs.cmu.edu/soups/2009/proceedings/a4-kelley.pdf>

¹⁷ B. van den Berg and S. van der Hof, ‘What Happens to my data? A novel approach to informing users of data processing practices’, *First Monday* 2012, Vol. 17, n° 7, available at <http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/4010/3274> See also E. Wauters, E. Lievens and P. Valcke, ‘The use of labels to empower minors, parents and educators in the social media environment - An explanatory report’, EMSOC project, February 2013, p. 60-71, available at <http://emsoc.be/4506-the-use-of-labels-to-empower-minors-parents-and-educators-in-the-social-media-environment-an-explanatory-report> (last accessed 24 June 2013).

¹⁸*Id.*

4. Do's and don'ts

The previous section illustrated that there are several ways in which the user-friendliness of privacy notices can be enhanced by considering alternative forms of visualization. In this section, we will provide a few basic guidelines for the developers of privacy notices. Regardless of the chosen method(s) of presentation, adhering to the following guidelines will help improve the effective communication of the contents of privacy notices.¹⁹

a. Mind your language

Do:

- adopt a simple, conversational style;
- use vocabulary that is readily understood by your intended audience²⁰;
- be specific and illustrate by using concrete examples (condensed/full notice).

Don't:

- use technical or legalistic language;
- use vague or open-ended language.

b. Be objective

Do:

- use neutral and objective language;
- offer a factual and accurate account of the organization's practices.

Don't:

- use suggestive language to instill a sense of confidence;
- give people the impression that they have a choice when they don't;
- frame privacy choices in a confusing or deceptive way.

¹⁹ These guidelines were developed primarily on the basis of the following sources: Information Commissioner's Office (ICO), 'Privacy notices – code of practice', *l.c.*, p. 9 et seq.; Kleimann Communication Group, Inc., 'Evolution of a Prototype Financial Privacy Notice A Report on the Form Development Project', 2006, available at <http://www.ftc.gov/privacy/privacyinitiatives/ftcfinalreport060228.pdf>; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) - Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA), 'Plain Language Principals and Thesaurus for Making HIPAA Privacy Notices More Readable', available at http://www.oup.com/us/companion.websites/9780195384222/instructor/pdf/HIPAA_Plain_Language_Guide.pdf.

²⁰ The US Department of Health and Human Services has developed a 'thesaurus of Plain Language Words' and Phrases for HIPAA Notices of Privacy Practices, which illustrates how technical terms can be substituted by common vocabulary (see note 19).

c. Design with care

Do:

- apply a clear structure;
- clearly identify main points;
- parse information into logical groups;
- use a format/size which is appropriate to the medium.

Don't:

- provide too much information at once;
- engage in unnecessary repetition.

d. Display in a prominent and timely fashion

Do:

- display privacy notices in a clear and conspicuous manner at all times;
- actively communicate the notice when users are asked to provide information and/or exercise their privacy preferences²¹;
- in case of a 'short' or 'condensed' notice, ensure easy access to more comprehensive statements.

Don't:

- assume that mere availability of the notice is sufficient;
- hide privacy notices (e.g., by using fine print, only making it available after navigating several pages)

e. Test usability and effectiveness

Do:

- conduct testing to ensure that readers find your notice comprehensible and user friendly;
- evaluate how effective your notice is in influencing decision-making by users²²;

²¹ Researchers of field of behavioral economics are investigating the importance of 'just in time'-notifications (which arise at the very moment they are necessary or can actually impact decision making/behavior). For more information see A. Acquisti, I. Adjerid and L. Brandimarte (2013), 'Gone in 15 Seconds: The Limits of Privacy Transparency and Control', *IEEE Security & Privacy* (forthcoming).

²² Usability and effectiveness or not the same thing. Even simple, usable notices are not effective, or can be made not effective. For more information see: I. Adjerid, A. Acquisti, L. Brandimarte, and G. Loewenstein (2012). 'Sleights of Privacy: Framing, Disclosures, and the Limits of Transparency', Paper presented at the Conference on Web Privacy Measurement,

- reiterate notice in light of user feedback.

Don't:

- limit the review of your notice to technical or legal privacy experts.

5. Conclusion

There are many pitfalls undermining the effective communication of information contained in privacy notices.²³ Some of these pitfalls can be avoided more easily than others. For example, organizations can easily limit the use of technical jargon or 'legalese', just by making a conscious effort. Another way in which organizations can enhance the usability of privacy notices is by presenting information in a more user-friendly way.

Several initiatives have been taken to improve the presentation of privacy notices. Many of them recommend 'layering' privacy notice as a way to combine meaningful transparency with user-friendliness. Other efforts focus on the use of alternative visualization techniques (such as icons) as ways to improve the communication of information contained in privacy notices.

When designing a privacy notice, one should remain mindful that transparency has a value independent of individual choice. While efforts must be made to present information in a user-friendly way, the notice must remain sufficiently detailed to enable real scrutiny and accountability of OSN providers.